Approved For Release LOP2/2 SECRET 100975A004700510001-3

25X1

29 October 1959

25X1

Copy No. C

## CENTRA

# ITELLIGEI

# BULLETIN



DOCUMENT NO. NO CHANGE IN CLASS. 11 DECLASSIFIED CLASS, CHANGED TO: F2S &

REVIEWER:

25X1

State Department review completed

**Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt** 

Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP79T0097\$40047(0510001-3 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN 25X1 29 October 1959 DAILY BRIEF 25X1 I. THE COMMUNIST BLOC USSR - Communist China: A recently completed study of Soviet party journals and newspapers published between March and September 1958 -- the period of conception and initial organization of the Chinese communes--suggests an absence of coordination between the Soviet and Chinese parties on this issue. During this period Soviet journals continued to treat Chinese agricultural policies with an approval OK which contrasted to their attitude after the full implications of the commune program emerged. The seeming lack of prior liaison on an issue of such importance to the bloc calls into question the effectiveness of Sino-Soviet consultation on 25X1 other doctrinal matters. USSR: (Khrushchev told Austrian Foreign Minister Kreisky, 25X1 during the recent visit of the Austrian delegation to the USSR, that he could have declared an end to Western occupation of West Berlin instead of offering his "generous" free city proposal. The Soviet premier said he was absolutely convinced that the Western powers would not have gone to war, and cited the effect of Bulganin's threatening letters to Britain and France during their attack on Suez in 1956. Khrushchev also stated that Soviet plans for raising the standard of living are based on increases in productivity under the Seven-Year Plan and not on pos-25X1 sible savings from disarmament. 25X1 (Page 3) Communist China - Indonesia: (A reported sharp protest 25X1 by the Chinese Communist Embassy over Indonesia's treatment of Overseas Chinese reflects the intense friction over this issue,  $\mathcal{N}^{\emptyset}$  which has developed into a major irritant to Sino-Indonesian relations. Peiping's action was prompted by measures being taken now in small villages in Java to round up and move by truck to i 25X1 Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP79T00975A004700510001-3

## Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP79T00975A004700510001-3 25X1 larger cities alien retailers, who are primarily Chinese. Peiping's action through diplomatic channels may foreshadow economic retaliation against Djakarta. 25X1 II. ASIA-AFRICA 25X1 India - Communist China: Nehru 25X1 25X1 was considering a withdrawal of Indian diplomats from Peiping as a mark of protest if the Chinese continue to act aggressively along the frontier. New tactics for dealing with border problems are being considered; growing public resentment over the government's "inaction" since last week's clash in Ladakh is apparently influencing Nehru in the direction of a tougher policy toward Peiping. New Delhi is unlikely to take any action drastic enough to prevent negotiations, which both sides apparently still hope to bring about. Nehru also 25X1 25X1 that troops were being moved into Ladakh to reinforce Indian positions on the border. Laos-USSR: The Soviet ambassador in London told Selwyn Lloyd on 23 October that the USSR was absolutely opposed to a UN presence in Laos. The USSR probably feels that even tacit acquiescence in Hammarskjold's plan would undercut its position 25X1 that the only international framework on which to base a solution in Laos is the Geneva agreements. Meanwhile Hammarskjold continues to search for a way to establish a UN presence in Laos. that would get around Soviet objections.) 25X1 25X1 29 Oct 59 DAILY BRIEF ii Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP79T00975A004700510001-3

			;		25X1
	Watch Com	mittee Conclus	ions: [Situatior	ns susceptible of	
	direct exploitat	ion by Sino-Sov	iet bloc action	which would jeop-	
	ardize US inter	ests exist in La	os and in the	Middle East, par- /	
	ticularly in Irac	•		<u></u>	-
	Laos: (Diss	sident military a	activity has re	emained at a low level	
	but subversive	activity continue	es at a high le	vel, particularly in	
	the south. The	se activities ma	y increase in	intensity, particulari	<u>y</u>
ر ر	H the Late Gove.	rnment carries	out its deferr	ed plan to put Neo Lac	<b>o</b>
ALU	itary interventi	on public trial	Direct Nort	th Vietnamese mil-	
· 100	itary interventio	on is not likely .	in the immedia	ate future.	
	approaching tri	e time situation	l III Iraq rema	ins tense. With the ers and the antici-	
	nated release of	f Oasim from th	illegeu anacke	ers and the antici- e possibility of fur-	
	ther assassinate	ion attempts or	coing remain	s. In these circum-	
	stances, the UA	R may become	more deeply i	s. In these circum-	051/4
25X1		140 MANUALLE	THOTO GCODIA T	TIAOTA E GO	25X1
20/(1					25X1
	Libya: A sl	hake-up of the I	ibyan cabinet	appears imminent	
	King lariss is r	eported to be di	isgusted over 1	recent scandals in-	25X1
	volving governm	nent officials. (	Changes mav l	be made in the Coun-	20/(1
. (1)	cu of Ministers	, as well as in l	lesser position	ns. The King how.	
N	ever, will proba	ably maintain hi	s control over	affairs despite his	25X1
. <del>-</del>	continued poor h	nealth.			
				·	
	Japan - Nor	th Korea: (The	Japanese Gove	ernment reportedly	
	Tanan to North I	procedures for	repatriation	of Koreans from	
	the program by	Morea in order t	O lift the mon	th-old boycott of	25X1
	reans in Japan	The change d	nist General i	Federation of Ko-	
1/	guards against i	nvoluntary rena	o not appear to	o modify the safe- antially. The first	
DK	repatriation shir	n is expected to	egil on 10 Day	cember if there is	\
V	no delay in appr	oval of revised	procedures by	the International	,
	Committee of the	e Red Cross	bi occurred by		7
		ニンレ		(Page 7)	j
				L	
				25X	.1
			,		
				•	
	20 Oct 50	DATE ***	<u> </u>		
	29 <b>O</b> ct 59	DAILY	BRIEF	iii	
	· .	· [		1	
	25X1				
	. 20/(1				

### I. THE COMMUNIST BLOC

### Lack of Sino-Soviet Liaison on Communes

A recently completed study of Soviet party journals and newspapers published between March and September 1958—the period of conception and initial organization of the Chinese communes—suggests an absence of coordination between the Soviet and Chinese parties on this issue. During this period Soviet journals continued to treat Chinese agricultural policies with an approval greatly in contrast to their attitude after the full implications of the commune program emerged. The seeming lack of prior liaison on an issue of such importance to the bloc calls into question the effectiveness of Sino-Soviet consultation on other doctrinal matters.

The Chinese Communist party leadership was actively considering and making preparations for a nationwide commune program throughout the spring of 1958. Prototype communes were formed in April, the same month that Mao Tse-tung wrote an article--not published until June--in which he implied an intention to move into a more advanced stage of agricultural organization. At the same time, the Chinese stepped up their claims to be advancing toward the ultimate stage of Communism, in the face of the Soviet position that only the USSR had graduated from the preliminary "socialist" stage. The communes were almost certainly discussed at the Chinese party congress in May, although the proceedings were never published. The very term "people's commune"--Peiping has since said--was first adopted in June 1958.

While the Soviet leaders presumably knew some sort of change was impending in the Chinese countryside during this period, they do not seem to have been aware of the implications of Peiping's plans—not publicly revealed by the Chinese until September 1958 when the commune system had already reached an advanced stage. Had the USSR been fully informed, it would almost certainly have been alarmed. Yet in June 1958, at the Bulgarian party congress, Khrushchev himself bestowed an unusual accolade on the Chinese party for its "enormous contribution to the theory and practice of the socialist revolution."

As late as early September, up to the eve of the publication of the Chinese party's commune resolution, authoritative Soviet papers and spokesmen continued to praise the existing Chinese cooperative system in terms which suggested that they did not expect it to change. These Soviet statements emphasized that China was following the tested Leninist cooperative plan and Soviet experience, whereas in fact the impending commune program was a radical departure from Soviet practice. Moscow also continued to congratulate the Chinese on their creative application of Marxism, a "creativity" which the USSR subsequently disapproved when it became fully aware of the commune program.

25X1

25X1

### Khrushchev Comments to Austrians on East-West Issues

Khrushchev told Austrian Foreign Minister Kreisky early this month that he could have declared an end to Western occupation of West Berlin instead of offering his "generous" proposal for a free city. The Soviet premier said he was absolutely convinced that the Western powers would not have gone to war, and cited the effect of the USSR's threatening letters to Britain and France during their attack on Suez in 1956.

Further evidence that Moscow's long-range economic and military planning is not predicated on any substantial reductions in military expenditures through East-West disarmament agreement was provided by Khrushchev's remarks. According to Kreisky, the Soviet premier stated that Soviet plans for raising the standard of living are based on the goals of the Seven-Year Plan, and not on savings from disarmament.

Khrushchev said he had asked his military advisers for an estimate of the cost of shifting the Soviet military system from conventional armaments to missiles. They had estimated 30 billion rubles, he said, which would be cheaper than the cost of maintaining a system based on conventional armaments.

Kreisky gained the impression from Khrushchev that the USSR would accept disarmament controls, but expressed doubt that Soviet views on the timing and scope of controls would be acceptable to the United States. Khrushchev appears to have repeated the vague and ambiguous position on controls that he outlined in his speech before the UN General Assembly on 18 September and in subsequent pronouncements. He called for an international control body composed of all states but made it clear that this body would have "free access to all objects under control" only after complete disarmament has been achieved.

25X1

25X1

### II. ASIA-AFRICA

## USSR Opposed to UN Presence in Laos

Soviet Ambassador to London Malik told British Foreign Secretary Selwyn Lloyd on 23 October that the USSR was "absolutely opposed" to a UN presence in Laos. Deputy Foreign Minister Kuznetsov had raised no objection on 7 October when UN Secretary General Hammarskjold first outlined his plan to appoint a representative in Laos after the UN fact-finding subcommittee submits its report.

The Soviet Government probably feels that any acquiescence, even though unspoken, in Hammarskjold's plan would undercut its insistence that the only international framework on which to base a solution in Laos is the Geneva agreements. It may also fear that tacit approval will commit Moscow to a procedure Hammarskjold can use in the future to side-step a Soviet veto. The Malik statement suggests that the USSR will-veto any Western-backed proposal to establish more permanent and effective UN machinery in Laos.

On 26 October Malik made strong representations to Lloyd and delivered a Soviet note protesting the trial of the 14 Neo Lao Hak Zat leaders as a violation of the Geneva agreements and calling for a meeting of the Laos International Control Commission to consider what should be done. The Foreign Office plans to reply that the trials are an internal problem for Laos and are neither in violation of nor within the scope of the Geneva agreements.

Hammarskjold continues to seek a legal basis which the USSR cannot challenge for establishing a UN presence in Laos, and seems willing to take a calculated risk of provoking renewed Soviet charges that he has violated the principle of impartiality inherent in the office of the secretary general.	

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP79T00975A004700510001-3

Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP79T00975A004700510001-3

25X1

The way appears to have been cleared for the repatriation of Korean residents in Japan to North Korea as a result of the approval by the pro-Communist Korean residents' organization (Chosen Soren) of modifications in the program proposed by the Japanese Government. The revised procedures will relax restrictions on visits to the repatriates during their stay at the port of embarkation, and provide for final interviews of families rather than individuals to determine that the repatriates had "freedom of choice" in making their decision. The Japanese have indicated that these procedures will not substantively modify conditions which would confirm the voluntary intentions of the repatriates.

The Japanese Red Cross plans to reopen repatriation registration offices as soon as the International Committee of the Red Cross approves the revised procedures—an approval Tokyo hopes to receive in a few days. Chosen Soren's nationwide boycott during the three days the registration offices were open in late September had restricted applications for repatriation to slightly more than 200. Although Chosen Soren has claimed that 170,000 of the approximately 700,000 Koreans in Japan want to return to North Korea, some observers believe that the number may be less than 50,000. The first repatriation ship is expected to sail in early December.)

South Korea has publicly threatened to break off talks now under way to settle outstanding differences with Japan if Tokyo compromised with the Korean organization.

North Korea now may be willing to allow the repatriation to
move forward in the belief that further obstruction would reflect
on its good faith and hamper its efforts to promote relations with
non-Communist countries. Should the number of prospective re-
patriates prove disappointing, Pyongyang may renew charges that
interference by Japan is responsible.

25X1

25X1

## Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP79T00975A004700510001-3

### THE PRESIDENT

The Vice President

Executive Offices of the White House

Special Assistant for National Security Affairs

Scientific Adviser to the President

Director of the Budget

Office of Defense and Civilian Mobilization

Director, National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Special Assistant for Security Operations Coordination

Board of Consultants on Foreign Intelligence Activities

Special Assistant for Foreign Economic Policy

Executive Secretary, National Security Council

The Treasury Department

The Secretary of the Treasury

The Department of State

The Secretary of State

The Under Secretary of State

The Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs

The Deputy Under Secretary for Political Affairs

The Deputy Under Secretary for Administration

The Counselor

Director, International Cooperation Administration

The Director of Intelligence and Research

The Department of Defense

The Secretary of Defense

The Deputy Secretary of Defense

Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs

The Secretary of the Army

The Secretary of the Navy

The Secretary of the Air Force

The Chairman, The Joint Chiefs of Staff

Commandant, United States Marine Corps

The Director, The Joint Staff

Chief of Staff, United States Army

Chief of Naval Operations, United States Navy

Chief of Staff, United States Air Force

Assistant to Secretary of Defense for Special Operations

Director for Intelligence, The Joint Staff

Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Department of Army

Director of Naval Intelligence, Department of Navy

Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence, Department of the Air Force

Supreme Allied Commander, Europe

Commander in Chief, Pacific

The Department of Commerce

The Secretary of Commerce

Federal Bureau of Investigation

The Director

Atomic Energy Commission

The Chairman

National Security Agency

The Director

National Indications Center

The Director

Approved For Release 2002 27 : SEOR = 10975A00 000510001-3